11. Update on the Economic Impact of the Sheridan #6 LEMA

Bill Golden <bgolden@ksu.edu> or <bbgolden2@earthlink.net>

Past economic studies differ in the calculated economic impact associated with groundwater use restrictions. One high priority subarea in northwest Kansas has recently mandated a reduction in groundwater use. Monitoring the Sheridan #6 Local Enhanced Management Area (LEMA) in real time will allow us to observe producer innovation aimed at maintaining revenues and disseminate these data to producers and stakeholders in other areas. The knowledge of how irrigated crop producers react to conservation policies will provide guidance on what is expected to happen in the future as groundwater supplies are diminished and/or conservation policies are implemented. While this research is ongoing, this presentation will review the observed impacts which occurred in the first two years of the five year LEMA.

Abstract/Summary

Bill Golden assists farmers, policy makers, and other stakeholders throughout Kansas in developing and implementing policies associated with the State’s natural resources. He also works extensively with land-water-related issues such as valuing irrigation water rights. Current research and extension efforts are evaluating producer and community impacts associated with alternative water conservation policies and the impacts of climate change.
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Governor’s Ogallala Aquifer Initiative #2

2. Support legislation to provide a process for proactive conservation plans (called Local Enhancement Management Plans, or LEMAs).

LEMAs are to be:
• Proactive
• Supported by the Groundwater Management District (GMD)
• Have corrective measures that address conservation needs
• May include mandatory water use reductions; and
• Approved by the Chief Engineer
LEMA's are initiated by local producers – but after enactment carry the weight of law

- LEMA’s are voluntary
- LEMA’s set their own rules
- LEMA’s are reversible

Sheridan #6: 5 year 55” allocation => about a 20% reduction

Big Question

- What happens to the economy as we reduce groundwater usage?

- Past evidence is not consistent !!!
Conventional Water Use Constraint

What We Think We Know

Example from Southwest Kansas. Both curves exhibit diminishing marginal returns to applied groundwater. Curves vary by crop, location, precipitation, and time.
Future Projections for Sheridan #6 LEMA

- **20% Reduction by Limiting Water Use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discount Rate</th>
<th>Status Quo</th>
<th>20% Reduction</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-5.00%</td>
<td>$7,776,655,684</td>
<td>$7,204,940,068</td>
<td>$571,715,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-2.00%</td>
<td>$8,325,232,217</td>
<td>$7,864,529,198</td>
<td>$460,703,019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>$277,432,415</td>
<td>$266,059,253</td>
<td>$11,373,162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50%</td>
<td>$149,725,231</td>
<td>$150,286,314</td>
<td>$5,561,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td>$93,073,672</td>
<td>$93,204,681</td>
<td>$12,975,902</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What We Have Observed: Wet Walnut Creek IGUCA: Irrigated Crop Revenue

- **Statistically significant short-run and a statistically insignificant long-run reduction in annual irrigated crop revenue.**
No statistically significant reduction in the annual total value of all crops.

Source: www.ipr.ku.edu

Since the Evidence is Not Consistent

We need to monitor irrigated acreage and water use in LEMA #6 in real time. Will producers:

- Shift acres to dryland production
- Maintain crop mix and reduce water use per acre
- Shift to crops that require less water

What are the economic consequences of these changes
Research Question

- How did the production decisions the producers inside the LEMA made compare to the production decisions the producers outside the LEMA made?

- This is a 5 year study. We have 2 years of data.

Sheridan #6 LEMA
Sheridan #6 LEMA

Results

Total Irrigated Acreage (all crops)

Approximately 3.5% reduction; statistically significant
Based on KDA water use reports
Results

Total Water Use (all crops)

Approximately 25.2% reduction; statistically significant
Based on KDA water use reports

Average Water Use per Acre (all crops)

Approximately 22.0% reduction; statistically significant
Based on KDA water use reports
Results

Total Irrigated Corn Acreage

Based on KDA water use reports

Approximately 10.0% reduction; statistically significant
Based on KDA water use reports

Results

Irrigated Corn Acreage Water Use

Based on KDA water use reports

Approximately 18.6% reduction; statistically significant
Based on KDA water use reports
Results

Total Irrigated Sorghum Acreage

Approximately 446.7% reduction; statistically significant
Based on KDA water use reports

Results

Total Irrigated Mixed Crop Acreage

Approximately 3.2% reduction; statistically significant
Based on KDA water use reports
Preliminary 2013 Economic Results

- Cash Flow = Revenue less variable expenses less land rent
- Not all 2013 data has been received from producers
- There was no irrigated sorghum reported outside the LEMA boundary
- This is not a statistically valid sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Water Use (in/ac)</th>
<th>Yield (bu/ac)</th>
<th>Cash Flow ($/ac)</th>
<th>Cash Flow ($/in)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn Weighted Average - Inside LEMA</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>198.0</td>
<td>$403</td>
<td>$36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn Weighted Average - Outside LEMA</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>211.6</td>
<td>$397</td>
<td>$29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum Weighted Average - Inside LEMA</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>$434</td>
<td>$107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum Weighted Average - Outside LEMA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybeans Weighted Average - Inside LEMA</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>63.8</td>
<td>$418</td>
<td>$41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybeans Weighted Average - Outside LEMA</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>$412</td>
<td>$36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Questions