

2015 Risk and Profit Conference Breakout Session Presenters

"Knowledge for Life"

17b. The Effect of Credit Access on Storage and Infrastructure in Northern Ghana

Adam Hancock

<adam43@k-state.edu>

Adam is a second year MS student in Agricultural Economics. He is a 2014 graduate of the University of Kentucky where he received his BS in Agricultural Economics. Adam's research is focused on understanding the storage behavior of smallholder farmers in Ghana and its possible implications on development initiatives in Ghana. Adam is a student fellow for the Center for Risk Management Education and Research whose interests include international development and agribusiness management.

Abstract/Summary

Within the three northern regions of Ghana (Upper East, Upper West, and Northern), food insecurity affects sixteen percent of the population, making food security a major focus of many of the development programs targeting poverty reduction and economic growth. The USAID's Feed the Future Initiative works partly through integration and partnership with USAID Ghana Mission (USAID|Ghana) for the Resiliency in Northern Ghana (RING) Project. This effort was designed to contribute to the Government of Ghana's efforts to sustainably reduce poverty and improve the nutritional status of vulnerable populations. Recent policy initiatives emphasize the importance of agriculture in economic growth and poverty reduction in northern Ghana, where the seasonality of agricultural production makes crop storage necessary. However, there are several reasons that motivate farmers to store in developing countries. To successfully increase food security, it is necessary to identify what factors affect a storage decision and model policy accordingly. The purpose of this paper is to increase the understanding of storage behavior of smallholder maize farmers in northern Ghana. In order to do so, it is necessary to identify factors affecting storage behavior and the magnitude of their influence. Through achieving these objectives and fulfilling the overall purpose, this paper may serve a role in guiding the focus of future policy initiatives focused in northern Ghana towards initiatives focused on factors having significant effects on storage behavior. The analysis is based on data from a USAID funded agriculture production survey conducted in 2013 and 2014 in northern Ghana. Econometric analysis was performed using the Maximum Likelihood Estimation method. Results suggest that farms within areas targeted by development initiatives benefit from extension education programs. Those not directly associated with extension and development programs may benefit from spillovers of knowledge and resources from their neighbors.

Credit Access & Storage Behavior: Smallholder Maize Farmers in Northern Ghana

Adam Hancock, Aleksan Shanoyan, Yacob Zereyesus, Kara Ross, Vincent Amanor-Boadu

Ghana

- Agricultural sector contributes 25% GDP (Wood, 2013)
 - ► Largest source of employment mostly smallholders (Feed the Future, 2011)
- Several regions identified as targets by USAID's Feed the Future Initiative (www.feedthefuture.gov)
- ▶ Poverty rate: 22% (Zereyesus et al., 2014)
- ► Fear income gap will widen between North and South (Feed the Future, 2011)



Outline Problem Objectives Methods Results Conclusion

Problem Statement: Storage

- ▶ Storage serves multiple purposes in developing countries
 - ► Liquidity, convenience yield, arbitrage (FAO, 1994; Saha & Stroud, 1994; Tefara et. al, 2011)
- Binding credit and liquidity constraints cause farmers to sell to meet cash needs (Stephens & Barrett, 2011)
- ▶ Sell low, buy high phenomenon (Stephens & Barrett, 2011)

Poverty Trap

Harvest

- ▶ Increased food supply
- ▶ Lower commodity prices
- ▶ Sell to meet cash needs
- Food stock below expected need

Post-Harvest

- ► Tighter food supply
- ▶ Higher commodity prices
- ► Signal for coming food scarcity
- ▶ Buy to meet expected need

Docult

 Smallholder farmers cannot invest in productive assets or capitalize on price and supply shifts

Problem Statement: Credit

- Credit Rationing: when a farmer is not able to receive enough credit to meet their operation's needs (Winter-Nelson & Temu, 2005)
 - ► Credit Supply < Credit Demand
- ▶ Profit-Liquidity Effect (Foltz, 2004; Guirkinger & Boucher, 2008)
 - ▶ Sufficient credit access allows for more optimal usage of inputs
 - Credit-rationed farmers have their usage of inputs constrained by availability of capital

Objectives

Purpose

Increase understanding of how credit access affects storage behavior of smallholder maize farmers in northern Ghana.

Primary Objective

 Examine effect of adequate credit access on quantity of harvest stored by smallholder maize farmers

Potential Outcomes

- Increase understanding of how credit access affects storage behavior by increasing liquidity
- Help in designing strategies to overcome "sell low, buy high" phenomenon

Research Framework

Literature

▶ Largely market participation in lesser developed countries

Assumptions

- Market participation and storage are implicitly related
- ▶ Utility from storage is unobservable outside of the observed intensity of storage
- ▶ Utility is maximized at the observed quantity stored

Utility from Storage = f (Convenience Yield, Liquidity, Profit from Arbitrage)

Data

- ▶ USAID: Agricultural production survey (APS), 2013-2014
 - ▶ 527 households total
- ▶ USAID: Population-based survey (PBS), 2012
 - ▶ Used data for credit and age
- ▶ 98% store a portion of maize harvested
- ▶ Price: Revenue/Volume of sales at time of harvest
- ▶ Dependency Ratio: Children/Adults in a household

Descriptive Statistics (n=354)

| Variable | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
|----------------------------------|--------|-----------|-----|------|
| Production | | | | |
| Farm Output (kg) | 806.40 | 845.35 | 0 | 6000 |
| Storage | | | | |
| Stored (kg) | 583.86 | 783.67 | 0 | 7800 |
| On-Farm Storage | 0.57 | | 0 | 1 |
| Marketing | | | | |
| Harvest Sales (kg) | 56.95 | 188.21 | 0 | 2000 |
| Price (GHS) | 0.38 | 3.22 | 0 | 60 |
| Market Distance (km) | 1.80 | 14.09 | 0 | 261 |
| Demographic | | | | |
| Consumption (kg) | 93.41 | 231.28 | 0 | 3000 |
| Dependency Ratio | 1.35 | 1.04 | 0 | 9 |
| Female | 0.08 | | 0 | 1 |
| Member of Extension Organization | 0.29 | | 0 | 1 |
| Access to Adequate Credit | 0.42 | | 0 | 1 |
| Within RING Zone | 0.52 | | 0 | 1 |

Model

► Continuous Decision Model (OLS):

 $Y_i = \beta_1 A dequate Credit Access + \beta_i X_i + \varepsilon_i$

- ▶ Y_i: Qty stored at harvest
- $ightharpoonup extit{X}_i$: Demographics, and data on marketing, production and storage

Results

| Variable Price | Coefficient -1.16 | Robust SE 1.13 | [95% Conf. Interval] | | Significant |
|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------|-------------|
| | | | -3.38 | 1.06 | |
| Farm Output | 0.78 | 0.05 | 0.68 | 0.89 | *** |
| Harvest Sales | -0.81 | 0.18 | -1.17 | -0.45 | *** |
| Consumption | -0.23 | 0.10 | -0.43 | -0.02 | ** |
| Extension Organization | 189.07 | 100.11 | -7.83 | 385.97 | * |
| Access to Adequate Credit | -59.71 | 52.81 | -163.60 | 44.17 | |
| Female | 34.67 | 45.58 | -54.98 | 124.32 | |
| Dependency Ration | 17.96 | 22.05 | -25.42 | 61.33 | |
| Market Distance | -0.29 | 0.29 | -0.87 | 0.29 | |
| On-Farm Storage | -185.35 | 77.75 | -338.29 | -32.42 | ** |
| Within RING Zone | 133.38 | 60.60 | 14.19 | 252.57 | ** |
| Intercept | 2.68 | 48.75 | -93.21 | 98.58 | |
| Adjusted R ² | | | | 0.64 | |
| N | 1 | | | 354 | |

Discussion & Conclusion

- Adequate credit access did not test to have a significant effect on quantity of maize stored at harvest
- Being a member to an extension organization greatly increased storage at harvest.
- Knowledge of production and marketing practices could be the binding constraint instead of adequate credit access

Suggested Policy

Significant focus on increasing extension education.

References

FAO (1994): Grain Storage Techniques: Evolution and Trends in Developing Countries. FAO Agricultural Services Bulleting, 109

Foltz, J. (2004): Credit market access and profitability in Tunisian agriculture. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 30, 229-240.

Guirkinger, C., & Boucher, S.R. (2008): Credit constraints and productivity in Peruvian agriculture. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 39, 295 308.

Nukenine, E.N. (2010): Stored product protectionin Africa: Past, present, and future. Paper presented at the 10th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection.

Saha, A., & Stroud, J. (1994): A Household Model of On-Farm Storage under Price Risk. American Journal of Agricultural Economics, 76(3), 522-534.

azzoan, E.C., & Barrett, C.B. (2011): Incomplete Credit Markets and Commodity marketing Behaviour. Journal of Agricultural Economics, 66(1), 1.24.

Tefera, I., Kanampiu, F., De Groote, H., Hellin, J., Mugo, S., Kimenju, S., Beyene, Y., Boddupali, P., Shiferaw, B., & Bansiger, M. (2011): The Metal Sio: An Effective Crain Storage Technology for Reducing Post-Harvest Insect and Pathogen Issues in Maize While Improving Smallholder Immers Food Security in Developing Countries Crop Postection, 30, 240-245.

Smallholder Farmers: Food Security in Developing Countries Crop Protection, 30, 240-245.
Whiter-Nelson, A., & Temu, A.A. (2005): Liquidity Constraints, Access to Credit and Pro-Poor Growth in Rural Tanzania. Journal of International Development, 17, 867-882.

Wood, T. (2013): Agricultural Development in the Northern Savannah of Ghana. University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Doctoral Documents from Doctor of Plant Health Program, 1-62. Retrieved on December, 29 2014 from

Zereyesus, Y., Ross, K., Amanor-Boadu, V., & Dalton, T.J. (2014): Baseline Feed the Future Indicators for Northern Ghana 2012. Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, 33.

ficture from: http://mlgrdghanagov.com/default/images/ghana_regions_blank3.png