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Abstract/Summary

The production and economic efficiency of corn and grain sorghum is a critical issue for Kansas farmers. The results of a study by KSU Agronomists and Ag Economists is discussed, focusing on how corn and grain sorghum compare under irrigated and dryland cropping systems, and under what cropping systems and in what geographic regions of the state that crop producers might prefer one crop or another or both in proportion depending on factors critical to their farming operations.
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Key Corn & Grain Sorghum Issues

A. Identifying key corn / sorghum characteristics in terms of plant morphology, physiology, & phenology that determine each crop’s productive capabilities
B. Breakeven dryland yields for corn / sorghum & the impact of environmental factors
C. Impact of drought tolerant corn hybrids
D. Irrigated corn / sorghum breakeven yields & water use efficiency
E. Fall post-harvest soil moisture use for corn / sorghum

A. Key Crop Characteristics

Morphology, Physiology & Development Stages
- Appearance of Corn / Sorghum is similar above ground & in vegetative growth stages (morphology)
- Their physiology & developmental stages also are similar in resource rich environments.

Notable Differences (re: Morphology, Physiology & Phenology)
- Adaptation to different levels of stress conditions

Results of Joint KSU Agronomy - Ag Economics Study (April 2012 - June 2013)
- Kraig Roozeboom – KSU Agronomy, Manhattan
- Loyd Stone – KSU Agronomy, Manhattan
- Alan Schlegel – KSU Agronomy, SWREC-Tribune
- Curtis Thompson – KSU Agronomy, Manhattan
- Keith Janssen – KSU Agronomy, Eastern Kansas (Retired)
- Mykel Taylor – KSU Agricultural Economics, Manhattan
- Bill Golden – KSU Agricultural Economics
- Daniel O’Brien – KSU Agricultural Economic, NWREC-Colby
- Michael Langemeier – Purdue Agricultural Economics (formerly KSU)
- Yared Assefa Mulisa – KSU Agronomy Post-doc
- Marcus Brix – KSU Agricultural Economics Graduate Assistant
- Project Sponsor: Kansas Corn Commission

Key Corn & Sorghum Issues (more)

F. Harvested acre & price trends for corn & grain sorghum in Kansas
G. Comparing crop nutrient & pesticide use & use efficiencies for corn & grain sorghum
H. How crop rotations, growing seasons & other factors affect Kansas corn & grain sorghum enterprises
I. Determine how farmer’s economic cost efficiency is affected by corn, grain sorghum & other farm enterprises in Kansas

A. Key Crop Characteristics (more)

- Corn
  - Tends to have taller stalks & relatively more leaves
  - Favoring greater interception of photosynthetically-active radiation & greater yield in resource rich environments

- Sorghum
  - Relatively more deeply & densely rooted
  - Maintains physiological activities at higher levels than corn in low water conditions
  - Has plasticity to hasten or delay phenological events (growth stages) under water deficit stress conditions
B. Breakeven Dryland Yields

- **KSU Corn & Sorghum Performance Trial Results**
  - **Corn** – Higher average & max yields than sorghum
  - **Grain Sorghum** – less yield variation than corn

- **Comparing Yields in “Harsh” Environments**
  - Environmental conditions driving dryland grain sorghum yields 40 bu/ac were “harsher” than those driving dryland corn yields 40 bu/ac
  - Weather conditions in different months were critical for dryland corn versus grain sorghum

B. Breakeven Dryland Yields (more)

- **KSU Variety Trials: 1992-2012**
  - Dryland Corn = 121 bu/ac average
  - Dryland Sorghum = 103 bu/ac average
  - Corn = Sorghum “Cutoff” = 100 bu/ac

- **KSU Variety Trials: 2007-2012**
  - Dryland Corn = 122 bu/ac average
  - Dryland Sorghum = 99 bu/ac average
  - Corn = Sorghum “Cutoff” = 96 bu/ac

IF dryland corn yields decline to the level of grain sorghum yields, then grow sorghum (KSU Variety Trials)

B. Breakeven Dryland Yields (more)

- **Kansas USDA NASS Yields: 1992-2012**
  - Dryland Corn = 87 bu/ac average
  - Dryland Sorghum = 66 bu/ac average
  - Corn = Sorghum “Cutoff” = 64 bu/ac

- **Kansas USDA NASS Yields: 2007-2012**
  - Dryland Corn = 89 bu/ac average
  - Dryland Sorghum = 68 bu/ac average

IF dryland corn yields decline to the level of grain sorghum yields, then grow sorghum (USDA NASS yields)

Results

- Northwest Kansas ➔ Advantage dryland corn
- Southwest Kansas ➔ Advantage dryland sorghum

Reflecting periodic harsh weather patterns & KSU Variety Trial results in 1992-2012 in NW & SW Kansas

B. Western KS Dryland Profitability (more+)

- **Assumptions**
  - KSU Corn / Sorghum Variety Trial Yields (1992-2012)
  - KSU Crop enterprise cost estimates (Farm Mgmt. Guides)
  - Corn vs Sorghum average basis difference
  - Crop Insurance Coverage

- **Results**
  - Northwest Kansas ➔ Advantage dryland corn
  - Southwest Kansas ➔ Advantage dryland sorghum

C. Drought Tolerant Corn Hybrids

- **DT Corn Varieties by Company**
  - Optimum® AQUAmax™ ➔ Pioneer
  - DroughtGard™ ➔ Monsanto
  - Agrisure Artesian™ ➔ Syngenta

- **Limited DT Corn Yield Public Data**
  - Recent yield experiments at Etter, TX & Topeka, KS
  - At very low & very high yielding environments, regular & DT corn hybrids showed no significant yield differences.
  - In medium yielding environments, DT corn had a yield advantage in most cases over regular corn hybrids.

C. Yields of DT Corn vs Regular Hybrids

Etter, Texas & Topeka, Kansas Dryland Corn Yield Variety Tests
C. DT Corn (more)
- Seed Co. Signals: 10% Yield ↑ for DT Corn
  - IF True, THEN for Adj. KSU Variety Trials: 2007-2012
  - IF Dryland Sorghum unchanged @ 99 bu/ac avg
  - “New” Corn / Sorghum “Cutoff” = 87 bu/ac (3.9 bu)

D1. Corn & Sorghum Water Use
- Vegetative Growth Requirements
  - Corn = 10.9 inches / acre minimum
  - Sorghum = 6.9 inches / acre minimum

- Additional Crop Yield per 1” of available water
  - Corn = 16.9 bu/acre / 1” of water/acre
  - Sorghum = 12.2 bu/acre / 1” of water/acre

- Evapo-transpiration (ET) & Water Use Efficiency
  - At ET > 21” ☐ corn has better WUE
  - At ET < 21” ☐ grain sorghum has better WUE

D2. Corn & Grain Sorghum Water Use
- Yield versus Evapotranspiration (ET) (Inches of water use)
  - Corn Yield response to Water (ET in inches)
  - Sorghum Yield response to Water (ET in inches)

D3. Corn & Sorghum Water Use (more)
- Water Use Efficiency (Bu / 1”) vs Water Use in inches (ET)
  - Corn Bu / 1” water
  - Sorghum Bu / 1” water

D4. Corn & Sorghum Water Use
- Yield versus Evapotranspiration (ET) (Inches of water use)

D5. Corn & Sorghum Water Use
- Yield versus Evapotranspiration (ET) (Inches of water use)

Findings: > 19 inches of ET (water use), corn is more efficient at producing bushels of grain per inch of water than sorghum.

Findings: The corn & sorghum lines intersected about 18 inches of ET (water use). Eighteen inches (18” water) is the suggested cut-off value for water use efficiency of corn & sorghum.
D6. Corn & Sorghum Water Use
- Stone et al. (1996) reported that Corn out-yielded Grain Sorghum at total irrigation amounts of 14” & above at Tribune, KS
  - The gain in crop yield over added irrigation water was greater for Corn over Sorghum starting at 8” applied
  - Recommendation: 8” of irrigation water as a cut-off below which – Grain Sorghum is more efficient, & above which – Corn is more efficient
- Tribune’s normal seasonal (Apr-Sep) rainfall = 13”
- Applied of 8” + rainfall of 13” = 21” of water use, which equals 21” cut-off value presented earlier for the ET-yield relationship (Stone, et al – 1996)

D8. Irrigated Corn & Grain Sorghum (Golden)

table: Maximum BMP Yields by CRD in Western Kansas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRD</th>
<th>NW</th>
<th>WC</th>
<th>SW</th>
<th>NC</th>
<th>Cent</th>
<th>SC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall &amp; Breakeven</td>
<td>20.2”</td>
<td>21.2”</td>
<td>19.9”</td>
<td>25.8”</td>
<td>26.6”</td>
<td>25.7”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Water &amp; Breakeven</td>
<td>4.6”</td>
<td>6.4”</td>
<td>8.3”</td>
<td>2.4”</td>
<td>3.2”</td>
<td>1.2”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield (bu/acre)</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

D9. Irrigated Corn & Sorghum (Golden)

- Central 1/3 of Kansas
  - In NC, Central & SC Kansas, irrigated Grain Sorghum may not be a profitable alternative to irrigated Corn.
- Western 1/3 of Kansas
  - In NW, WC, & SW KS, irrigated Grain Sorghum may again not be a profitable alternative to irrigated Corn except in areas of diminished well capacity

E. Fall Post-Harvest Moisture Use

Q: How much soil moisture is used by cropland in Corn & Grain Sorghum in fall-after harvest?

- Soil Moisture Use from Black Layer to Killing Freeze
  - Corn = 0.045”/day x days to killing freeze
  - Sorghum = 0.085”/day x days to killing freeze

A. Freeze 2 weeks late (14 days)
  - Corn = 0.045”/day x 14 days = 0.63” soil moisture
  - Sorghum = 0.085”/day x 14 days = 1.19” soil moisture

B. Freeze 4 weeks late (28 days)
  - Corn = 0.045”/day x 28 days = 1.26” soil moisture
  - Sorghum = 0.085”/day x 28 days = 2.38” soil moisture
G1. Corn & Sorghum Nutrient Use

- **Corn** responded *more* than **Grain Sorghum** to N fertilizer applications of 60 to 200 lb/acre
  - For equal rates of N fertilizer applied, **Corn** responded ≈ 0.5 bu/ac/N# *more* than **Grain Sorghum**
  - Fertilizer use efficiency of **Corn** was found to be *greater* than for **Grain Sorghum** at all application rates, as long as water is not limiting

- Results from a long-term (1997-2006) N & P fertilizer use study in Tribune, KS
  - **Corn** responds to applied N & P *more* than does **Grain Sorghum** at the application levels considered

G2. Corn-Sorghum Pesticide Use

- Reasonable weed control can be accomplished in **Sorghum & Corn** using pre-emergent herbicides
  - Pre-emergent herbicides require adequate rainfall to be activated & control weeds.
    - Without adequate rain, weeds might escape, requiring a post-emergent herbicide application
  - Without glyphosate tolerance in **Grain Sorghum**, post-emergence herbicide options to control grass weeds (in particular) are much less effective than in **Corn**
  - **Corn** > **Sorghum** in herbicide use efficiency, assuming similar rates of herbicide application
**G3. Corn-Sorghum Pesticide Use**

- **Pre-emergent** pesticide application has been shown to increase yield up to 100% compared to untreated plots in both **Corn** & **Grain Sorghum**

- Unlike for **Corn**, **post-emergent** herbicide options for **Grain Sorghum** have been either:
  1) Not available
  2) More expensive
  3) Not as effective as post-emergent **Corn** herbicides

**H1. Crop Rotation Impacts**

- Differences exist in placement & effects of each crop in Great Plains cropping systems

- Rotational studies indicated **more** nitrogen (N) was required for equal or better **Wheat** yields when **Wheat** followed **Grain Sorghum** compared to following **Corn**

- Planting **Wheat** in the same season after **Corn** harvest was found to be **more** compatible than **Wheat** after **Sorghum**.

- However, double-cropping **Grain Sorghum** rather than **Corn** after **Wheat** harvest better optimized water use & available growing season

**H2. Irrigated Cropping Systems**

- The competitive advantage that irrigated **Corn** maintains over irrigated **Grain Sorghum** is due to…
  1) Herbicide & rotational considerations which provide greater production flexibility for **Corn**
  2) Producer perceptions that disparity in crop insurance minimum or “t-yield” levels favor irrigated **Corn** over irrigated **Grain Sorghum**
  3) How local commodity prices generally have favored **Corn** over **Grain Sorghum** across the state of Kansas over time

**I1. Farm Cost Efficiency Impact**

- Study determining if **Corn**, **Grain Sorghum** & other farm enterprises were related to cost efficiency in Kansas

- Based on Kansas Farm Management Association (KFMA) enterprise #s, with whole farm combined crop irrigation & dryland enterprises for 2002-2011

- Statewide % of farm income from **Corn** = 14.7%, from **Grain Sorghum** = 6.5%

  - **Corn**: 7.6% in central to 18.9% in eastern KS
  - **Sorghum**: 2.3% in eastern to 11.4% in central KS

**I2. Farm Cost Efficiency Impact**

- Both **Corn** & **Grain Sorghum** crop income were positively related to cost efficiency across the state of Kansas

  - **Corn** income was **positively** related to cost efficiency in **eastern** & **western** Kansas

  - **Grain Sorghum** income was **positively** related to cost efficiency in **central** Kansas

- Both **Corn** or **Grain Sorghum** were **not negatively** related to cost efficiency for **any** region of the state

- **Hay & forage**, **Oilseed**, & **Wheat** production were **negatively** related to cost efficiency in parts of the state

**Questions?**

Daniel O’Brien – Extension Agricultural Economist
Kansas State University
dobrien@k-state.edu
KSUGrains on Twitter & Facebook

www.AgManager.info