Risk & Profit CONFERENCE



K-State Dept. of Agricultural Economics

August 21-22, 2013

K-State Alumni Center Manhattan, KS

Congress and Bulls and Bears, Oh my!


KANSAS STATE
UNIVERSITY
Department of Agricultural Economics

Tackling Big Issues in the U.S. BeefCattle Industry: An Interactive 'Clicker' Session

Glynn Tonsor,
Kansas State University


How many football games will **K-State** win this coming football season?

- 1. Less than 4
- 2. 4 or 5
- 3. 6 or 7
- 4. 8 or 9
- 5. 10 or 11
- 6. 12




How many football games will **Kansas** win this coming football season?

- 1. Less than 4
- 2. 4 or 5
- 3. 6 or 7
- 4. 8 or 9
- 5. 10 or 11
- 6. 12




What best describes the U.S. beef-cattle industry segment you are most involved in?

- 1. Cow-calf operator
- 2. Stocker/backgrounder
- 3. Feedlot
- 4. Processor
- 5. Input Supplier
- 6. Ag Lender
- 7. Other




What best describes your sentiment regarding the long run economic prospects of the U.S. beef-cattle industry?

- 1. I'm optimistic
- 2. I'm neutral
- 3. I'm pessimistic
- 4. I have no clear sentiment



In 2022, how many beef cows will there be in the national U.S. herd?


- 1. Less than 20 million
- 2. 21-25 million
- 3. 26-30 million
- 4. 31-35 million
- 5. 36-40 million
- 6. Over 40 million



Longer-term projections (as of Feb. 2013)


2021 Projection 1.1 million less than Feb. 12'

http://www.usda.gov/oce/commodity/projections/index.htm



What factor do you think <u>most</u> restricts current investment in the U.S. beef-cattle industry?

- 1. Input price volatility
- 2. Output price volatility
- 3. Regulatory uncertainty
- 4. Tax policy uncertainty
- 5. Global growth uncertainty
- 6. Other



What do you think <u>most</u> influences profitability for cow-calf operations?

- 1. Revenue received
- 2. Production costs
- 3. Other




Table 1. Beef Cow-calf Enterprise Returns over Total Costs, 2008-2012 (minimum of three years)*


			Profit Category	′	Difference	between
	All	High 1/3	Mid 1/3	Low 1/3	High 1/ 3 an	d Low 1/3
	Farms	Head /\$	Head/\$	Head/\$	Absolute	%
Number of Farms	99	33	33	33		
Labor allocated to livestock, %	36.3	40.5	37.7	30.6		
Number of Cows in Herd	137	172	152	87	85	98%
Number of Calves Sold	125	156	139	79	77	97%
Calves Sold per Cow in Herd	0.908	0.904	0.914	0.907	0.00	0%
Weight of Calves Sold	586	600	579	579	22	4%
Calf Sales Price / Cwt	\$116.39	\$115.76	\$117.49	\$115.93	-\$0.17	0%
Gross Income	\$635.43	\$670.50	\$653.11	\$582.69	\$87.82	15%
Feed	\$395.36	\$344.51	\$398.91	\$442.67	-\$98.16	-22%
Interest	\$127.74	\$111.52	\$127.70	\$144.01	-\$32.49	-23%
Vet Medicine / Drugs	\$20.96	\$18.27	\$23.55	\$21.05	-\$2.79	-13%
Livestock Marketing / Breeding	\$16.81	\$13.41	\$19.28	\$17.75	-\$4.34	-24%
Depreciation	\$42.15	\$24.55	\$42.44	\$59.44	-\$34.90	-59%
Machinery	\$83.97	\$57.88	\$83.85	\$110.19	-\$52.31	-47%
Labor	\$127.03	\$109.29	\$109. 1 4	\$162.67	-\$53.38	-33%
Other	\$39.14	\$27.92	\$36.31	\$53.17	-\$25.25	-47%
Total Cost	\$853.16	\$707.34	\$841.18	\$1,010.95	-\$303.61	-30%
Net Return to Management	-\$217.72	-\$36.83	-\$188.08	-\$428.26	\$391.43	

^{*} Sorted by Net Return to Management (Returns over Total Costs) per Cow

Available at: http://www.agmanager.info/livestock/budgets/production/default.asp#Beef Cattle


How much "excess capacity" currently exists in the U.S. feedlot industry?

- 1. None
- 2. 1-10%
- 3. 11-20%
- 4. 21-30%
- 5. Over 30%
- 6. I have no clue



Do you think "southern" feedyards are more likely to close or have lower utilization going forward?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. I have no clue




Regionally varied feedlot excess capacity resolution?

- Are "southern" yards more likely to close or have lower utilization?
 - Less DGS availability?
 - Less aligned with "attempted" U.S. heifer expansion?
 - More reliant on Mexican feeder supplies?
 - -Older facilities?

In 2012, how many feeders were available for feedlot placement for each animal already on feed?


- 1. 1.43
- 2. 2.43
- 3. 3.43
- 4. 4.43
- 5. I have no clue



Feeder Cattle Supply Overview

	1970s	1980s	1990s	2000s	2010s	1973	2012	2012 vs. 1973
Cows & Heifers that have Calved	52,431	46,681	43,397	41,922	39,948	52,553	39,387	-13,166
1000 Head								
Calf Crop	47,208	42,068	39,226	37,298	35,096	49,194	34,279	-14,915
1000 Head								
All Cattle & Calves	122,156	108,284	99,676	96,121	92,444	121,539	90,769	-30,770
1000 Head								
January 1, All Cattle On Feed	13,053	11,686	12,755	14,118	13,926	14,432	14,121	-311
1000 Head								
Feedlot Inventory/Total Cattle Inventory	10.69%	10.79%	12.80%	14.69%	15.06%	11.87%	15.56%	3.68%
Feedlot Inventory/Calf Crop	27.65%	27.78%	32.52%	37.85%	39.68%	29.34%	41.20%	11.86%
Feeders Available per Feeder in Feedlot	3.62	3.60	3.08	2.64	2.52	3.41	2.43	-0.98

Source: USDA NASS Jan. 1 data; Tonsor tabulations



Source: USDA NASS Jan. 1 data; Tonsor tabulations

Number of Lots					
Capacity, # hd	2000	2005	2010	2012	2012 vs 2000
<1000	95,000	86,000	75,000	73,000	-22,000
1000-1999	804	855	790	740	-64
2000-3999	500	547	560	570	70
4000-7999	335	349	335	345	10
8000-15999	194	185	180	170	-24
16000-23999	82	78	85	88	6
24000-31999	60	58	55	55	-5
32000-49999	64	70	71	66	2
>50000	52	56	64	66	14
SUM	97,091	88,198	77,140	75,100	-21,991
SUM (>1,000 hd)	2,091	2,198	2,140	2,100	9

Source: USDA NASS data; Tonsor tabulations

Percent of Marketings					
Capacity, # hd	2000	2005	2010	2012	2012 vs 2000
<1000	14.2%	14.0%	15.4%	11.4%	-2.8%
1000-1999	3.2%	3.1%	3.0%	2.8%	-0.5%
2000-3999	4.6%	5.1%	5.4%	5.2%	0.6%
4000-7999	7.6%	6.9%	6.7%	7.3%	-0.4%
8000-15999	11.1%	10.1%	9.5%	9.3%	-1.8%
16000-23999	9.4%	8.4%	7.8%	8.7%	-0.7%
24000-31999	10.0%	9.3%	7.9%	7.5%	-2.5%
32000-49999	15.3%	17.2%	15.7%	15.0%	-0.2%
>50000	24.5%	25.8%	28.6%	32.7%	8.3%
SUM	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%
SUM (>1,000 hd)	85.8%	86.0%	84.6%	88.6%	2.8%


Source: USDA NASS data; Tonsor tabulations

Capacity, DOF, Turns/Year	2000	2005	2010	2012	2012 vs 2000
Capacity of >1,000 hd Yards (mil hd)	16.50	16.60	16.80	16.90	0.40
DOF (steers) per KS FOF Survey	141.50	151.58	148.83	152.08	10.58
Implied turns/year	2.58	2.41	2.45	2.40	-0.18

Source: USDA NASS and FOF data; Tonsor tabulations

In 2022, what portion of U.S. beef production do you think will be exported?

- 1. Less than 5%
- 2. 6-10%
- 3. 11-15%
- 4. 16-20%
- 5. Over 20%
- 6. I have no clue



USDA's longer-term projections (as of Feb. 2013) ...

http://www.ers.usda.gov/Publications/OCE121/

U.S. beef cow inventory:

- 29.9 million in 2012
- 33.5 million in 2022 (+/- 1993 levels)

U.S. domestic per capita red meat & poultry consumption:


- 221 lbs in 2004-2007 (Beef=65.7 lbs; Pork=50.4 lbs; Poultry=103.8 lbs)
- 197 lbs in 2013 (Beef=54.8 lbs; Pork=45.0 lbs; Poultry=96.4 lbs)
- 209 lbs in 2022 (Beef=54.8 lbs; Pork=48.1 lbs; Poultry=104.6 lbs)

U.S. beef exports:

- 2.47 billion lbs in 2012
- 3.27 billion lbs in 2022
 - 32% increase from 2012 levels; would be 11% of forecasted production


In 2022, what portion of U.S. beef production being exported would be "best for the industry?"


- 1. Less than 5%
- 2. 6-10%
- 3. 11-15%
- 4. 16-20%
- 5. Over 20%
- 6. I have no clue



How does domestic beef demand currently compare to 2012?


- Demand has increased
- Demand has decreased
- 3. Demand has not changed
- 4. I have no clue





What broad beef demand determinant do you think should be of top priority in domestic beef demand enhancement strategies and national investments?

- Food Safety
- 2. Health
- 3. Nutrition
- 4. Price
- 5. Product Quality
- 6. Social Aspects
- 7. Sustainability
- 8. I have no clue




2013 Beef Demand Study

- Prioritizing Broad Demand Determinants
 - Most important to focus on:
 - Food Safety (impactful & feasible to be influenced)
 - Product Quality (impactful & feasible to be influenced)
 - Price (impactful yet less feasible to be influenced)
 - Secondary importance to focus on:
 - Nutrition (middle ranking in impact and feasibility)
 - Health (middle ranking in impact and feasibility)
 - Less important to focus on:
 - Sustainability (lower ranking on both impact and feasibility)
 - Social Aspects (lower ranking on both impact and feasibility)

Available at:


What portion of U.S. fed cattle do you believe will be produced with use of beta-agonists in January of 2014?

- 1. 0%
- 2. 1-25%
- 3. 26-50%
- 4. 51-75%
- 5. 76-100%
- 6. I have no clue



When an Extension specialist makes an "outlook" presentation what is the most common source of data used?

- 1. The Ext. specialist
- 2. Livestock Marketing Info. Center (LMIC)
- 3. USDA (NASS, ERS, AMS..)
- 4. Other
- 5. I have no clue




Example of 2 USDA reports (Crop Progress; Cattle Inventory) being jointly used to inform...

US RANGE AND PASTURE CONDITION

Percent Poor and Very Poor, Weekly


Beef Cows in states with 40% Poor to Very Poor						
Last year	Cows	% of Total				
10/23/11	14862	48.31%				
10/30/11	14185	46.11%				
05/06/12	6161	20.03%				
This Year						
10/21/12	21009	70.50%				
10/28/12	21009	70.50%				
05/06/13	15674	52.60%				



Data Source: USDA-NASS, Compiled & Analysis by LMIC

If a new policy increases the total costs for an industry, without enhancing demand for its products, can an industry gain market share from the policy being implemented?


- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. I have no clue



Have you identified the comparative advantage your operation holds?


1. Yes

2. No



What best describes your sentiment regarding the long run economic prospects of the U.S. beef-cattle industry?

- 1. I'm optimistic
- 2. I'm neutral
- 3. I'm pessimistic
- 4. I have no clear sentiment



Questions, thoughts, and suggestions are welcome...

This presentation is available in PDF format at:

http://www.agmanager.info/about/contributors/individual/tonsor.asp

Glynn T. Tonsor
Associate Professor
Dept. of Agricultural Economics
Kansas State University
gtonsor@ksu.edu