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Introduction

We are entering a very exciting world for agriculture and food over the next two decades. Finally, we are getting a better understanding of how to leverage our scientific knowledge about food production, processing, packaging and distribution to match our knowledge about consumer behavior, consumer choice and preferences and decision-making. We are figuring out how to match the objectives of the business of agriculture and agri-food to the business of public policy to maximize the net benefits for consumers and citizens – the common connection between public policymakers and business – in an activity that often seems to have competing objectives.

Let me start by quoting the oft-overlook purpose of all production activities, no matter how they are conducted, where they are conducted and who conducts them. As long as the production activity is driven by an economic objective, i.e., to increase the net benefit of the producer, then it is prudent to heed the admonition of Mr. Adam Smith in his second, but most popular book, *The Wealth of Nations* (2005, p. 537-538):^1

Consumption is the sole end and purpose of all production; and the interest of the producer ought to be attended to, only so far as it may be necessary for promoting that of the consumer. The maxim is so perfectly self-evident, that it would be absurd to attempt to prove it.

Mr. Smith goes on to note that in our mercantile system – then as it is now – the consumer’s interest is often sacrificed to the producer’s, making production, not consumption, the ultimate end of our production activities. An assessment of our policies in all industries, not only agriculture and agri-food, would provide overwhelming evidence of this. Indeed, it is so prevalent in our public policies that we needed special departments to oversee consumer protection. The United States Bureau of Consumer Protection, for example, has a mandate to protect consumers against unfair, deceptive or fraudulent practices. In Canada, the mandate of Office of Consumer Affairs -- based in Industry Canada – is *the promotion and protection Canadian consumers.*

When producers and their industries understand that consumption of their production is the sole end and reason for their production activities, there would be no economically rational reason to do anything but ensure consumers’ utter satisfaction and enjoyment in the consumption of the products and services they produce.

Indeed, the need for these regulatory bodies may become obsolete with improvements in product traceability through effective product passports and chains of custody. Even when inputs going into the manufacture of products are co-mingled, as

---

is the case in most of agriculture (Amanor-Boadu and Starbird, 2005), the potential risks associated with a stained reputation could be high enough to remove any economic incentive to do anything underhanded to consumers. The services of the various social media companies – Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, You Tube, Flicker, Google+, etc. – allow consumers a rapid path to publish their experiences with products and their suppliers. The online marketplaces, such as Amazon, Hotels.com, Yelp and EBay, offer the perfect avenue for consumers to provide feedback and rate their experiences with the products and suppliers. These ratings are used by future consumers to assess supplier reliability – a powerful incentive for good behavior if it is assumed that the firm intends to continue its business operations.

The future consumer is, thus, going to be more powerful in controlling producers’ behaviors. Therefore, we begin with a number of assumptions about the preparedness of the Canola Council of Canada (CCC) for engaging the future consumer:

- The CCC understands that the sole end and purpose of all the activities within its supply chain – from genetics companies through growers and processors to distributors and retailers – is consumption.
- The CCC recognizes its strength is in positioning itself as an industry organization that spans the whole supply chain, giving it the opportunity to create real value through strategic collaborations.
- The CCC is committed to its strategic objective to sustain its global leadership position in the supply of raw and processed canola products to the world.

The foregoing implies that we begin with the end in mind, as advised by Dr. Stephen Covey in his long-running New York Times bestseller, The 7 Habits of Highly Effective People. CCC’s strategic plan suggests that we can jump the first habit suggested by Dr. Covey, i.e., Be Proactive, because the very process of thinking and transforming our thoughts onto paper and talking about them is an act of vulnerability and proactivity.

The spirit of interdependence is foundational to sustained competitiveness in the agriculture and agri-food sector because no segment is effective in meeting the consumer’s complex and evolving needs. That the CCC encompasses all of Canada’s canola supply chain puts it in a position to help the industry’s stakeholder secure their competitiveness. Finally, we start with the belief that creating sustained flexible competitive advantage depends on increasing value creation.
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It is understood giving the foregoing that only the consumer defines value. This means we need to develop vital appreciation of (1) who this consumer is; and (2) what this consumer values in her dealings with us through our products and services. Given the foregoing, let us frame our conversations around three principal questions:

1. Who is the future consumer and what is she looking for?
2. What should we do to meet the needs of the future consumer given the choices that confront her and the competition that poses for us?
3. How should we go about executing the “Keep it Coming” strategic plan to increase our probability of achieving its specified goals?

The Future Consumer

Population Trends

By 2025, the end of the CCC’s current strategic plan, global population is projected to reach 8.1 billion from the current 7.2 billion (UN, 2013). If we use a billion people as the milestone indicator, Figure 1 shows the number of years it took to reach the different milestones. For example, it took about 123 years for the world’s population to move from 1 billion in 1804 to 2 billion in 1927. Reaching the 3 billion mark only took 33 years and 14 years to reach 4 billion people. World population reached 6 billion in 1999, only 12 years after it reach five billion. The halving of the time to reach these billion people milestones motivated Heinz von Foerster to employ the power law to suggest, albeit facetiously, that the world population would become infinitely large by his 115th birthday on November 13, 2026. We bottomed out at seven billion in 2012 and the population growth rate is projected to commence a decline, moving from seven to eight billion in 16 years and reaching the ninth billion in nearly two decades.

Only two regions are projected to see an increase in their share of global population between 2010 and 2050. The population growth in Africa between those two periods is about 744 million people, a 73% increase, will lead to increasing Africa’s share of global population from 15% to 20%. Latin America and the Caribbean’s share will increase from 8% to 9% with a 39% increase in their 2010 population, equivalent to 229 million people. Asia’s share is projected to decline from 61% to 59%. However, its total population is projected to grow by more than 1 billion over the period, equivalent 24% of its 2010 population. North America’s population will increase by a mere 41 million

---

5 Heinz von Foerster, P. M. Mora and L. W. Amiot (November 1960). "Doomsday: Friday, 13 November, A.D. 2026. At this date human population will approach infinity if it grows as it has grown in the last two millennia". Science, 132 (3436): 1291–1295.
people, about 12% of its 2010 population, causing its share of global population in that period to decrease from 5% to 4%. Finally, Europe’s share of global population is projected to decline from 10% to 7%, equivalent to a decline of 104 million people or 14% of its 2010 population. The foregoing shows the shifts in the physical market, defined as the number of people who can potentially consume products that are produced by local and international producers and manufacturers. It allows producers to focus on where the markets are going to be so they can position themselves to create the highest value experiences for their consumers.

**Figure 1: Years Between 1 Billion Population Intervals (1804-2050)**

It is projected that global average life expectancy at birth increased from 48 years in 1955 to 65 years in 1995 and it is expected to reach 73 by 2025. The World Health Organization projects that no country will have a life expectancy of less than 50 years by 2025 despite more than 50 million people living in countries where the life expectancy is less than 45 years. The good news is that more than 5 billion people in 120 countries already have life expectancy of more than 60 years. By 2025, life expectancy in Europe will be close to 80 years and in the mid-80s in North America. Africa, although the lowest by 2025, will still have a life expectancy higher than 60 years.

Thus, not only are the numbers of people in countries changing but the age distribution of the people in these countries are also going to undergo significant changes as they live longer. The increasing life expectancy means that the number of young people in the world would increase significantly even as older people’s numbers increase.
Overall, it is projected that 3.6 billion people will be below 30 years by 2020. India is projected to have 726 million people below 30 years compared to China’s 456 million. On the contrary, by 2027, the EU-35 region will have 50% more people over 65 than children under 15 years. The economics of these demographic changes promise to be interesting and present significant opportunities for all segments of the agriculture and agri-food sector.

Why do we believe these numbers? Rapid enhancements in nutrition and food. Despite the rising health care costs everywhere, health care services are becoming increasingly available and accessible to an increasing majority of the world’s population. The result has been a rapid decline in childhood mortality and the observed improvement and projected numbers regarding life expectancy. New vaccines and prophylactics have reduced the threat of infectious diseases, such as cholera and smallpox, in many places around the globe. The World Health Organization is projecting that non-communicable diseases (NCD) – cancer, chronic respiratory diseases, cardiovascular disease, and diabetes – will become the leading sources of health care problems everywhere, including developing countries. Current infectious diseases that are proving challenging, HIV/AIDS and malaria, for example, are expected to be brought under control in the next decade or so. For example, clinical trials of a malaria vaccine developed by GlaxoSmithKline conducted in seven African countries have shown some level of effectiveness in children over an 18-month period. Numerous ongoing research in various places around the world, including the U.S. and South Africa, are pointing to the possibility of developing an effective vaccine to deal with HIV. These activities and the progress being made are the result of the dedication and commitment of many medical and pharmaceutical scientists, public health professionals and numerous volunteers in developed and developing countries as well as private foundations, businesses and governments believed these achievements were possible in the first place. According to the WHO, 40% of all
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8 See National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) for a listing of current and past work in this area. Website: [http://www.niaid.nih.gov/topics/hivaids/research/vaccines/Pages/default.aspx](http://www.niaid.nih.gov/topics/hivaids/research/vaccines/Pages/default.aspx).

9 The continuing funding and promotional efforts of organizations such as Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation ([http://www.gatesfoundation.org/](http://www.gatesfoundation.org/)) and the International AIDS Vaccine Initiative ([http://iavi.org](http://iavi.org)) are recognized as critical in making this transformation of global health a reality.
deaths in 1955 were among children under 5 years old and 21% among those over 65 years. By 2025, they project that only 8% of all deaths will be among children under 5 years while 63% will be those over 65 years.

Improved knowledge about those at risks and the factors that contribute to health, nutrition and food security risks provide better policy instruments for dealing with these risks. However, what is remarkable is how knowledge and technology have contributed to improved food production in many places and caused hunger and food security risks to decline. FAO data shows that the average daily per capita caloric availability for Africa in 2009 was 2,560 Kcal/day compared to was 2,263 Kcal/day twenty years earlier in 1989, a 13.1% improvement. The same is true in Asia, where the average daily per capita caloric availability in 1989 was 2,449 Kcal/day and 2,706 Kcal/day in 2009. Figure 2 shows the improvements in gross per capita production of food measured in International dollars for Africa, Asia and the world between 1990 and 2012, using FAO data and setting 2004-2006 = 100. The figure shows that while Asia’s gross per capita production of food has been growing at an average annual rate of 2.1% between 1990 and 2012, Africa’s has been at 0.8% and the average for the whole world has been about 1.0%.

Over the past three decades, we have seen many countries open their borders to both regional and global trade. Many more countries have joined the World Trade Organization even as many have formed their own preferential trade arrangements. In Africa, the West Africa Economic Community and the Common Market for East and Southern Africa provide preferential trade treatment to their respective members while Association of South East Asian Nations does the same thing for member countries in the Southeast Asian region. These efforts are not different from the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), which has just celebrated its 20th anniversary. This increasing openness to trade contributed to a 6.1% average annual growth in total global agricultural merchandise trade 1990 and 2012, from about U.S.$858 billion to U.S.$3.4 billion (in current prices) (Figure 3). Thus, between 1990 and 2012, total agricultural merchandise trade nearly quadrupled.

It is important to recognize that availability does not equal accessibility because of infrastructural gaps along the agriculture and agri-food supply chain in these regions. Amanor-Boadu, V. and F. Niti. “NAFTA at 20: How Has Agriculture and Food Performed?” Discussion Paper, Department of Agricultural Economics, Kansas State University, Feb. 2014.
**Figure 2: Gross Per Capita Production Index (2004-2006=100) in International Dollars**

![Gross Per Capita Production Index Graph](image1)


**Figure 3: Total Global Agricultural Merchandise Trade (Current US$ Billion)**

![Total Global Agricultural Merchandise Trade Graph](image2)

Economic Trends
There have been conversations among numerous people about the population growth rate and its potential implications for meeting food needs. Let us start with a couple of points about people and agriculture and food markets. First, people make potential markets. For those of us in markets that have inherent elastic demand structures, higher populations are always better than smaller ones. Therefore, while some might see the population trend pictures presented as a challenge we need to avoid in some form or another, it is imperative for stakeholders in the food and agribusiness sector to see them as an opportunity they need to embrace. Some of these people will not be persuaded by the production and trade trends, arguing that they are unsustainable with increasing populations. However, for those of us who make our living feeding these people, we can only see these as a challenge demanding from us extreme creativity in the use of our limited resources and talent.

Let me contextualize and preface my position about population and markets because it is easy to misplace the rationale. There once was a British preacher, Rev. Thomas Robert Malthus was his name, who projected that the world will be unable to feed itself because its population was growing at a geometric rate and its food production at an arithmetic rate. He advocated two approaches to population control: one he termed positive checks and the other he called preventative checks. His positive checks included raising the death rate while his preventative checks included strategies aimed at reducing the birth rate. To raise the death rate, the good Reverend proposed hunger, disease and war. His preventative checks, on the other hand, included abortion, birth control, postponement of marriage and celibacy. The Reverend Malthus, arguing in 1798, suggested focusing on reducing the population of the poor as a primary target. I want to believe this man of God was only being provocative in his prescriptions but I am sure he will find some interesting followers were he alive today.

One thing that I am sure blinded Reverend Malthus from seeing people as an economic resource instead of the scourge was his ambivalence about international trade. He was indeed the only economist of note in his time to support Britain’s Corn Laws, which prohibited the importation of corn into Great Britain after the Napoleonic Wars in 1815. The result of these laws, interestingly, was rioting in London and the consequent Peterloo Massacre in Manchester in 1819. The lesson from Reverend Malthus’ erroneous summations: Correlation is not causation and free trade is good.

---

12 High food prices are often cited as the reason for the Peterloo Massacre. However, the August 16, 1819 events were initiated by an agitation by the Manchester Patriotic Union for parliamentary reform and poor judgment by local authorities to disperse crowds using sabre-drawn cavalry.
I had noted earlier that people define potential markets. People with disposable incomes make actual markets. The good news is that despite all the bad stories engendered by the Great Recession of 2008, incomes are actually rising for most people. For example, Figure 4 shows that the average and median total incomes of Canadians, measured in 2011 constant dollars shows a steady increase between 1990 and 2011. Rough estimates show that both average and median incomes in Canada were growing at about 1.3% per annum over that period.

**Figure 4: Distribution of Total Annual Individual Incomes in Canada (2011 Constant Dollars)**

![Graph showing average and median incomes from 1990 to 2011.](source_image)

Source: Statistics Canada. Table 202-0402. Available at www5.statcan.gc.ca.

The part of the conversations about incomes that is often lost is the fact that disparity between the very rich and the very poor has been increasing virtually everywhere. It is important to remember that increasing disparity does not necessarily imply one group’s income is increasing and another’s is decreasing. Often, it means one group’s income is increasing much more rapidly than the other’s. For those who care about income disparities, the Gini coefficient is the common metric used. The Gini coefficient is a measure between 0 and 1 that determines the extent of inequality between the top and bottom 20% of a country’s population. A coefficient of 0 corresponds to perfect equality and 1 is equivalent to perfect inequality. Using World Bank data, the Gini coefficient in 2011 for Belarus is 0.265, Ethiopia 0.336, Indonesia 0.381 and Rwanda 0.508. Thus, measured by their inequality, Belarus is “better” than Indonesia or Poland at 0.327 in 2011.
Without coming off as uncaring about income disparities, it is important for us to focus our attention on the economic rationale of our activities. As participants in the agriculture and food sector, our primary rationale is to meet consumer needs, however they are defined. We want to supply them the highest value products given their incomes and their willingness and ability to reward us with cash and loyalty. This means that we develop products and solutions that fit the incomes of our target customers. For, as long as we are able to provide products and services that our customers, regardless of their incomes, find valuable, we can be confident that we will be playing in the market we have chosen to play in.

The future consumer we choose to serve is, thus, heterogeneous across the different continents and the different countries on the same continent. This future consumer is heterogeneous even within countries because of significant disparities in incomes and others socio-economic characteristics as well as revealed preferences for different products and services. We need to bear this fundamental caveat in mind as we continue talking about the economics of the future consumer.

**Focusing on Middle Class Consumers**

Using World Bank’s forecast and other estimates, the GDP growth rate in advanced economies between 2000 and 2017 averaged 1.9% and it is expected to hover between 2% and 3% for the next decade or so. The average over the same period for Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa was 7.8% and 5.5% respectively. Granted that percentages can be dangerous metrics because they do not provide any information about their reference points, yet, this is where market segmentation based on the heterogeneity of the future consumer in these places become important.

Ernst and Young (2013) projects be a significant shift in the distribution of the world’s middle class population by 2030 (Figure 5). About 1.85 billion people globally were in the middle class in 2009 and Ernst and Young projects that there will be 3.25 billion in 2020, and increase of more than 76% in 11 years. By 2030, the global middle class population is projected to exceed 4.88 billion. Of the middle class population in 2009, 18% were in North America (NA), 36% in Europe, 28% in Asia-Pacific Region (AP), 10% in Central and South America (C&SA), 6% in Middle East and North Africa (MENA) and 2% in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). The data show that by 2030, the Asia-Pacific Region will account for 66% of the world’s middle class population and North America’s and Europe’s shares would shrink to only 7% and 14% respectively. Thus, the Asia-Pacific Region, with a much larger share of the global population in 2030, would have a lot more people with significant disposable incomes than the much richer countries of North America and Europe. We cannot afford to overlook this significant shifter in food
economics as the economic center of the world shifts from North America and Europe to the Asia-Pacific Region (Kharas and Gertz, 2010). \(^\text{(13)}\)

**Figure 5: Distribution of Global Middle Class Population**

![Distribution of Global Middle Class Population](image)

Source: Kharas and Gertz, 2010.

What does “middle class” mean and why is it important? First, it is generally accepted that “middle class” is a term bantered around by politicians, academics and lay people. This is because of the perceived influence the middle class is perceived to have on the general economy. For example, Adelman and Morris (1967) \(^\text{(14)}\) and Landes (1998) \(^\text{(15)}\) have argued that the faster economic development pace in the UK and Europe in the 19\(^\text{th}\) Century can be attributed to the expansion of their middle class. Alesina (1994) \(^\text{(16)}\) and Easterly (2001) \(^\text{(17)}\) have also noted that societies with a larger middle class are less polarized and are, thus, able to reach consensus on economic development issues of relevance than those that have a smaller middle class. Easterly
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contends that this “middle class consensus” allows people to agree on the provision of public goods, such as physical infrastructure – roads, electricity, water, etc. – and human capital – education and health – which are necessary for their national economic development. Easterly’s empirical analysis involving 175 countries shows that countries with a smaller middle class tend to underinvest in public goods, are less democratic and poor macroeconomic policies. It is for these reasons (and more) that the middle class is important in discourses about poverty alleviation and the competitiveness of both private and public organizations going forward into the future. They also explain why politicians and almost everybody banter the term around.

Who is in the middle class is not as simple a question to answer why the middle class is important. In general, the middle class is seen as the group of consumers who are not counted among the richest and poorest 20% of the population. Yet, this is immediately problematic, especially for cross-country comparisons because the top and bottom 20% of any population by income could be very different. To this end, income and/or expenditure brackets have been employed to define the middle class. The World Bank, for example, defines the middle class as those with disposable incomes of between U.S.$2 and U.S.$13 per day. The African Development Bank (AfDB) (2011) and the Asian Development Bank (ADB) (2008) both used a daily per capita disposable income range of U.S.$2-U.S.$20. Based on these measures, the AfDB claimed more than a third of Africans were in the middle class in 2011 while the ADB placed 56% of Asia’s 3.4 billion people in the middle class. Both the AfDB and the ADB note that a larger proportion of the people in their middle class are at the bottom (i.e., U.S.$4 or less), making them vulnerable to slipping back below the U.S.$2 line.

For our purposes in this paper, the most appealing and useful definition of “middle class” comes from Kharas (2010), noting that “as an economic driver, the “middle class” may be termed the ‘consumer class’ . . . [with] income elasticity for consumer durables and services that is greater than unity.” This definition implies that an individual is deemed to be in the middle class when a percentage increase in that individual’s income results in more than a percentage increase in the consumption of consumer durables and services. In essence, for this group, an increase in incomes do not go towards food consumption or food security, because they already have attained that, but instead towards durables.

Kharas uses a wider range of a daily per capita disposable income than either the World Bank or the two development banks referenced above. He uses a lower bound of U.S. $10 per capita daily disposable income and an upper bound of U.S.$100 to define the global middle class. He argues that his lower bound is a reference to the average poverty line in Italy and Portugal while the upper line reflects twice the median
income of Luxemburg. This definition would exclude most people who would be counted in the middle class using the World Bank’s definition of poverty. Kharas estimated the global middle class spending in 2009, 2020 and 2030 using 2005 Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) dollars (Figure 6). The figure shows the shifts in the proportion of middle class spending across regions and time.

**Figure 6: Distribution of Global Middle Class Spending (using 2005 PPP)**

![Distribution of Global Middle Class Spending](image)

Source: Kharas, 2010

Figure 6 shows while North America (NA) and Asia-Pacific (AP) accounted for 26% and 23% of middle class spending in 2009, their respective shares by 2030 are respectively 10% and 59%. The shift in AP’s middle class expenditure shift is, thus, not as large as its population shift (Figure 5) but NA’s expenditure shift is larger than its population shift. Yet, the shifts are significant enough to cause the centers of global spending to shift from North American and Europe to the Asia-Pacific Region by 2030. Specifically, both China and India are expected to expand their shares of middle class spending significantly. India’s expansion is projected to be much higher than China’s by 2050. Let us zero in on India as a case example.

Only about 25% of India’s 1.2 billion people in 2013 are in the “middle class,” using the Asian Development Bank’s definition. Despite what seems like many people, Mustafi observes the proportion of people in India’s middle class is smaller than China’s,
Bhuttan’s and even Pakistan’s. However, McKinsey Global Institute (2007) projections suggest that India’s middle class households will increase nearly four-fold between 2005 and 2025 and their disposable incomes would increase about seven times. Their aggregate consumption is projected by the same study to increase 12 times between 2005 and 2025. Stakeholders in the agriculture and agri-food sector are going to see these expansions in their potential market over the next decade or so. Their responsibility to their stakeholders and themselves is a simple one: Develop an effective strategy that allows these customers to perceive significant value in the products and services that the sector presents.

The Future Consumer’s Needs
We have already noted that the future consumer is heterogeneous in her needs. We have also noted that regardless of the income group into which they fall, they can still provide a credible market for the agriculture and agri-food sector. However, as incomes increase, consumers in all income groups are going to change what they eat.

Regmi and his colleagues (2001) provide further evidence that food budget share and income elasticity of food decline with increases in income. That means that low income consumers spend a larger proportion of their income on food and are, thus, more responsive to income and price shifts than middle and higher income consumers. They also point out that the composition of food consumed moves from low value to high value as incomes increase. Using data from 32 low income countries and 26 high income countries, they show that the proportion of income allocated to cereals by low income consumers averaged about 28% compared to 16% for higher income consumers. Allocation to meat and dairy products were respectively 18% and 9% for lower income consumers and 25% and 14% for higher income consumers. Thus, animal products accounted for nearly 40% of the food budget of higher income consumers compared to 27% for lower income consumers.

What this and other studies tell us is that, all things remaining equal, the projections of increasing incomes and the migration into the middle class everywhere is going to
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increase the demand for animal products. Thus, there is going to an increasing need to produce more meat, milk and eggs for direct consumption or as ingredients for the manufacture of higher value products. FAO data show that per capita meat consumption is projected to increase by 24.5% globally between 1997-99 and 2030. However, for developing countries, the projected increase is 43.9% while East Asia and South Asia increases are projected at 55.2% and 120.8% respectively. Sub-Saharan Africa’s (excluding South Africa) meat consumption is projected to increase by 42.6% in the same period (Figure 7).

**Figure 7: Per Capita Meat Consumption by Regions (1997-1999 & 2030)**

Projected higher meat and animal products’ consumption foretells a surge in feed, feed ingredients and feed grain demand. It is, however, important to recognize that the heterogeneous marketplace is going to demand different meat and animal products, which will require different production practices. We are already familiar with meat products that are organic, free-range, non-antibiotics, etc. Provenance and production practices are going to be demanded by a segment of the market that is willing and capable of paying for specific products. The increasing recognition of specific nutrients and minerals to health would cause certain segments of the market to demand products that exhibit these characteristics.

Let us use two essential fatty acids – omega-3 and omega-6 – as examples of how the demand for better health through nutrition is going to alter the food market and create increased opportunities for segmentation and value creation. The crucial role of
omega-3 and omega-6 essential fatty acids in promoting health is becoming increasingly recognized and consumers are demanding food products exhibiting high levels of these essential fatty acids. They have been shown to play a crucial role in brain function and are reputed to ease nerve pain in some arthritic conditions (University of Maryland Medical Center). Given the fact that life expectancy is projected to increase, it is expected that an aging population will look for help to aid brain function and alleviate nerve and other pains.

Simopoulos (2002) showed that the best outcome from omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids is obtained when the omega-6/omega-3 ratio is unity. Current western diets, the author argues, have ratios that are as high as 15:1 and 16.7:1, suggesting that these diets are deficient in omega-3 fatty acids but excessive levels of omega-6. The research shows that excessive omega-6 has pathogenesis promotion effects for many diseases, including cardiovascular diseases, cancer, and inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. Simopoulos’ research showed that omega-6/omega-3 ratio of 2.5:1 reduced rectal cell proliferation in patients with colorectal cancer while 2-3:1 ratio reduced inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis patients.

If we agree with Adam Smith that consumption is the sole purpose of all production, then it is imperative that we use the information about the future consumer’s needs to supply food products that address her health concerns and her demands for “natural” solutions. This is where the CCC can leverage its cross-industry relationships to position itself not only as a food and feed supplier but also as a major player in the natural health marketplace. The natural health market comprises consumers who want to enhance their health status by carefully managing their food consumption.

Let us look at what I call the Canola Advantage. Over the past several years, Rachel Ray has emerged as an important authority on food in the United States and around the world. Ms. Ray got her break when, as a buyer for the Albany-based gourmet foods supplier, Cowan & Lobel, she discovered that people who did not want to cook. This led her to develop a concept for 30-minute meals classes, where she showed people how to cook meals in less than 30 minutes. She got a weekly segment on the local CBS TV station (WRGB), started doing radio appearances, and finally got a show on the Food Network in 2001.

Why is Rachel Ray important in our conversations about the future consumer and canola? Simple: She coined the term E-V-O-O – extra virgin olive oil, which catapulted

the demand for olive oil in the US.\textsuperscript{23} For the consumer, EVOO has become synonymous with healthy oils.

Figure 8 shows that canola oil has a higher content of both omega-6 and omega-3 than olive oil. However, and more importantly, its ratio of omega-6 to omega-3 is about 2.04 compared to 12.8 for olive oil. This puts canola oil in that range of food products that offer numerous benefits to consumers, as described by Simopoulos (2002). Despite this inherent advantage, EVOO has captured the consumer’s imagination and crowds out other products if those products do not work hard to gain the consumer’s attention.

\textbf{FIGURE 8: OMEGA-6 AND OMEGA-3 CONTENT IN CANOLA AND OLIVE OILS}

Recent studies indicate that omega-3 content is what really matters in health benefits.\textsuperscript{24} However, studies also show that both omega-3 and omega-6 compete for the same metabolic enzymes, making their ratio ultimately important in how they influence the body’s inflammatory and homeostatic processes. For this reason, the ratio advantage cannot be overlooked when thinking about how canola and canola products fit into contributing to the health of the future consumer.

Additionally, the industry cannot ignore the misunderstanding and misinformation about canola and canola products currently floating around the internet because consumers

\textsuperscript{23} The Oxford American College Dictionary added the term EVOO in 2007 and credited Ray for coining it.

\textsuperscript{24} Willett W.C. "The role of dietary n-6 fatty acids in the prevention of cardiovascular disease". Journal of Cardiovascular Medicine, 8(2007): S42–45.
abhors information vacuum. The industry’s detractors are working hard to make canola synonymous with rapeseed, place it within the GMO orbit and confuse the consumer. However, in addressing erroneous information, it is important that the industry is as transparent as possible, appealing to science while finding the language to address any genuine fear that consumers may have.

Overwhelming evidence of canola oil’s benefits have contributed to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) allowing manufacturers to make a qualified health claim:

"Limited and not conclusive scientific evidence suggests that eating about 1 ½ tablespoons (19 grams) of canola oil daily may reduce the risk of coronary heart disease due to the unsaturated fat content in canola oil.”

Johnson, Keast AND Kris-Etherton (2007) showed that complete substitution of other spreads with canola oil-based margarine increased monounsaturated fatty acid intakes by 27.6% and increased α-linolenic acid (ALA) intakes by about 73%. They also noted that 100% replacement decreased n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid and linoleic acid intakes respectively by 32.4% and nearly 45%. This altered the n-6 to n-3 fatty acids ratio from 9.8:1 to 3.1:1, which was positive for health improvement.

Benefits of feeding canola meal in animal feed have also been shown in research. For example, Chibasa, Christensen and Mutsvangwa (2013) used canola meal as the principal protein source with wheat dried distillers’ grain in dairy cow feed. They observed a quadratic changes in milk concentrations of total C18:2, C18:3n-3 and total n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) as well as n-6 PUFA with increasing levels of the wheat dried distillers’ grain. They concluded that these have potential human health improvement qualities. Mambasa and others (2013) also show support for the suppression effect of dietary canola oil on breast cancer in their study involving measuring mammary carcinogenesis in female rat offspring. Thus, not only is a dietary canola oil helpful for the mothers, it also promotes the health of offspring, leading Mombasa and his colleagues to argue that the potential anticancer effect of dietary canola oil may provide insights into developing nutritional strategies to reduce breast cancer risks in humans.


The foregoing point us to a couple of activities as the CCC prepares for the future consumer. It is all about the consumer’s needs! First, breeders and producers should intensify their collaboration to develop varieties that continue to enhance the canola advantage – i.e., reduce the ratio of n-6 to n-3 to as close as possible to unity by further enhancing the omega-3 content to stretch canola’s advantage. In the pursuit of this goal, it may be prudent to identify the agronomic and environmental determinants of the ratio because it may not all be genetic. For example, do certain locations produce higher levels of omega-3 than omega-6 and so what are the triggers? Once this question is answered (and it may have been already), the ingenuity of the breeder must be brought to bear on enhancing the canola advantage even more.

Canola oil’s manufacturing process has been criticized because of a perception that hexane extraction adversely affects final product quality. Whether credible or not, we know that what the consumer believes is how she behaves. Therefore, keeping our eye on the essence of all production being satisfying the consumer, the industry needs to explore innovations that can make the competition irrelevant through value improvement. While the innovations may be more expensive, the appropriate assessment process is not cost but net value achieved. By segmenting the market to identify those who value canola’s health value proposition but are wary about the perceived adverse effects of its manufacturing processes, a value-enhancing solution could lead to higher net profits.

The feed industry needs a stronger story to move canola meal, and research that shows that animal products, such as meat, eggs and milk, that are produced with feeding canola meal have superior PUFA levels in the right ratios would be helpful. This area requires some more work, with a focus on omega-3 and omega-6 content and ratios as well as effects on taste, shelf-stability and production costs.

Potential future opportunities for the canola industry would be incomplete without a statement about biofuels. There is good reason to use canola in biofuel production but it is important to recognize that this product is a lower value product compared to food and feed. Furthermore, there is no segmentation advantage once the biofuel is produced since the lower heating value of the resulting biodiesel is not very different from others. However, there may be processing cost advantage in using green seed canola for biodiesel because of its poor food quality and higher processing costs.27

“Keep it Coming”
In the end, what really matters is to do right with our customers and their customers. All stakeholders in the Canadian canola industry – from breeders through producers to processors and shippers – need to understand the needs of every partner in the chain. What do retailers need to be successful? How can we all make exporters more competitive against other canola exporters or competing oilseeds in particular markets? How can we enhance producer revenues to encourage the allocation of more production resources to canola? What tools do breeders and genetics companies need to deal with the edaphic, biotic and value challenges confronting not only producers but whole the canola supply chain? What knowledge and technology does everyone along the canola chain need to be operationally excellent?

As we work on addressing these questions as individual players and as an industry, we need to candidly assess what we do and how we do them as individuals and as an industry. What assumptions about our operations are being taken as “facts” and how are those affecting our competitive capabilities? We may employ the ERIC Model – An Effective Strategy Development Tool – to evaluate our current activities so that we accentuate our winning strategies and make our weaknesses irrelevant to our future success.

The ERIC – Eliminate, Reduce, Increase and Create – Model is a path-dependent approach to building sustained competitive advantage that is resilient to a changing environment. The strategic thinking and operational excellence enhancement model begins with cataloging everything that we currently do as individual organizations and as collectives within an industry association, such as the CCC. It might make sense to do this cataloging at the departmental level in organizations that have multiple departments or units. The cataloging process helps people see what they do in their service to the ultimate customer. Sometimes, what one department may do is not visible to the customer – e.g., the breeder – but it makes the customer-interface of the firm – e.g., the restaurant – look very good. That is, the customer perceives value in the exchange, and that perception leads to the reward the whole chain enjoys, including those whose contributions were invisible to the customer. It is important that the cataloging is as complete as realistically possible. We have seen morale improved and pride increase after individuals complete their catalogs and found how much they do that they themselves take for granted.

The purpose of the cataloging process is to identify what we do and why we do them, what we do not do and why we do not and what need to do that we are not doing. We focus on our assumptions and the organizational or industry stories that constrain our performance and our creativity. To bring some objectivity to the process, we need to
develop clear estimates of costs and benefits for each activity in the catalog we develop. We employ non-market valuation methods to assess the costs and benefits associated with doing all those things that we have always assumed cannot be valued. When done carefully and with respect, candor and sensitivity – after all, everyone around the table has something positive to offer, which is why they are there – the outcome can be wonderful. The participants are ready to implement the ERIC Model once the assumptions and the net benefits of the items in the catalog are defined, discussed and articulated.

Figure 9 summarizes the objective for each quadrant of the matrix defining the ERIC Model. Organizations that seek to sustain their competitive advantage in the emerging environment and get an increasing share of the future consumer’s dollar need to embark on this path of re-imagining all their activities within the ERIC Model.

**Figure 9: The ERIC Model - Strategy Making Re-Imagined**

The process used in this conversation underscores the ERIC Model approach. We started with understanding the forces that were driving current and future change in the agriculture and food sector. We agreed with Rev. Malthus that people are driving the changes we are seeing now and are going to see in future. However, we disagreed with the good reverend on our strategic response to the increasing
population. We argued that for this industry, the more people we have the better because of the elastic nature of food and food-related consumption. We showed that populations are going to increase because of drastic reductions in child mortalities in developing countries and increased life expectancy everywhere. We pointed to projections that indicated that incomes are going to go up for a significantly larger proportion of people and that these people would demand higher value food products. Our job is to focus on the different consumer market segments that we can exploit effectively create the highest value for which the consumer is willing and able to reward us over and above our efforts. The ERIC Model provides a strategic thinking and execution tool to facilitate the process.

Upon completing our value creation maps (as individual companies and collectively as an industry), we need to develop a powerful marketing initiative. We need someone like Rachel Ray, seen by the consumer as independent and unthreatening, who would carry the “message” of the new products and solutions we develop and make it stick enough for it to transform into demand. When these efforts translate into demand, then we know our production has met the needs of a consumer somewhere. When we satisfy a consumer, then we have achieved the purpose of our production objectives.