FORT WORTH

Extension Risk Management Education National Conference April 27-28, 2016

Animal Welfare Perceptions of U.S. Cow-Calf Producers and Consumers: Economic and Educational Implications

Glynn Tonsor

Dept. of Ag. Economics, Kansas State University



























 We must appreciate essential role of consumer demand & customer product acceptance

Complex and changing all the time



 We must appreciate essential role of consumer demand & customer product acceptance

Complex and changing all the time

- –In agriculture:
 - increasingly involves "social issues"
 - calls to document, verify, and adjust production practices



Consumers curious about food production

Price, Taste, Freshness, & Convenience...

Nutrition & Health

 Safety, Env. Impact, Hormone & Antibiotic Use, & Animal Welfare... ("Social Issues")



Background on Economic Fit

• Many are happy economists only 'have two hands' ...

– Supply

 Influenced by anything impacting costs of producing, processing, or marketing food

Demand

- Influenced by anything impacting acceptance and valuation of food
- ALL debates over food production have core economic components



Background on Economic Fit

 The Center For Food Integrity (@foodintegrity) tweeted on Wed, Sep 04, 2013:

"Science tells us if we can do something. (supply)

Society tells us if we should do it." (demand)

• Think about gestation stalls, laying hen cages, betaagonists, handling techniques, euthanasia practices...



Views of Public & Producers

Industry must know more to respond effectively

– How do producers & the U.S. public compare in views w/r/t animal welfare?



Benchmarking Cattle Producer & Public AW Perceptions

- "Beef and Dairy Cattle Animal Welfare: Market Opportunities and Threats"
 - USDA AFRI Integrated Grant, 2012-68006-30178
 - Economists: Chris Wolf (MSU) & Melissa McKendree (KSU)
 - Animal Scientist: Janice Swanson (MSU)
 - Veterinarian: Dan Thomson (KSU)
- Nationally representative U.S. public & producer surveys
 - Nov. 2013 May 2014
 - Cow-Calf Producers (n=686) & U.S. Public (n=1,992)
 - Dairy Producers (n=656) & U.S. Public (n=2,001)



Comparisons of Cow-Calf Producer and U.S. Public Survey Responses

- Supporting Resources Available on www.AgManager.info
 - http://www.agmanager.info/livestock/marketing/AnimalWelfare/default.asp



Views on Industry Supporting Principles

BOTH SURVEYS

- In your opinion, should the following be supporting principles of the U.S. beef industry?
- Strongly Agree (1) ... Strongly Disagree (5) or Don't Know



Views on Industry Supporting Principles

	Consumer-	Producer-
	Conditional	Conditional
	Average	Average
Generating a safe supply of beef products.	1.71	1.38
Conserve and protect land and water resources.	1.85	1.52
Generating an affordable supply of beef products.	1.95	1.68
Generating a reliable supply of beef products.	1.95	1.47
Conserve and protect the welfare of beef cattle.	1.95	1.55
Economically efficient beef production.	2.07	1.60
Assuring sufficient farm income for cattle producers.	2.09	1.64
Generating new research and innovation for beef.	2.24	1.68
Being competitive in the global beef marketplace.	2.28	1.57

Note: Lower values convey stronger agreement with each being a supporting principle.



Views on prevalence of 11 beef production practices/issues

What percentage chance do you believe the typical U.S. beef product comes from:

	<u> </u>				
	0%-	26%-	<i>5</i> 1%-	76%-	Don't
	25%	<i>50</i> %	51%- 75%	100%	Know
Cattle dehorned/disbudded with pain control					
Cattle older than three months of age castrated with pain control					
Farms/ranches with consistent training program for employees focusing on principles of animal care and handling					
Farms/ranches with third party verification that appropriate animal care and facilities are provided					
Cattle provided access to fresh, clean feed and water					
Cattle provided antibiotics to prevent illness and disease					
Cattle provided shade, windbreaks, and ventilation					
Farms/ranches where injured or sick animals are treated or euthanized promptly					
Farms/ranches with a herd health plan , developed with the help of a veterinarian					
Farms/ranches with less than 100 beef cows					
Farms/ranches providing appropriate overall care for the well-being of their cattle					
		A STATE OF THE STA			

What percentage chance do you believe the typical U.S. beef product comes from:

	Cow-Calf Producers	U.S. Public	
	Don't	Don't	_
Cattle provided access to fresh, clean feed and water	know 7%	Know 24%	_
Cattle provided antibiotics to prevent illness and disease	8%	27%	_
Cattle provided shade , windbreaks , and ventilation	10%	30%	P
Cattle dehorned/disbudded with pain control	22%	41%	av
Cattle older than three months of age castrated with pain control	19%	42%	Do
Farms/ranches with consistent training program for			
employees focusing on principles of animal care and handling	19%	30%	
Farms/ranches with third party verification that appropriate			
animal care and facilities are provided	25%	31%	
Farms/ranches where injured or sick animals are treated or			U.
euthanized promptly	14%	33%	av
Farms/ranches with a herd health plan , developed with the help of			Do
a veterinarian	13%	30%	
Farms/ranches with less than 100 beef cows	13%	30%	
Farms/ranches providing appropriate overall care for the well-			
being of their cattle	8%	25%	

Producer average for Don't know: 15%

VS

J.S. Public verage for on't know: 31%



What percentage chance do you believe the typical U.S. beef product comes from:

	Cow-Calf	U.S.
	Producers	Public
	Weighted	Weighted
	Average	Average
Cattle provided antibiotics to prevent illness and disease	59%	60%
Farms/ranches with consistent training program for		
employees focusing on principles of animal care and handling	35%	44%
Farms/ranches where injured or sick animals are treated or		
euthanized promptly	58%	47%
Farms/ranches with a herd health plan , developed with the help of		
a veterinarian	50%	46%
Farms/ranches with less than 100 beef cows	50%	35%

General Areas of Agreement

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

What percentage chance do you believe the typical U.S. beef product comes from:

	Cow-Calf	U.S.
	Producers	Public
	Weighted	Weighted
	Average	Average
Cattle provided access to fresh, clean feed and water	74%	58%
Cattle provided shade, windbreaks, and ventilation	62%	46%
Cattle dehorned/disbudded with pain control	25%	41%
Cattle older than three months of age castrated with pain control	24%	41%
Farms/ranches with third party verification that appropriate		
animal care and facilities are provided	23%	45%
Farms/ranches providing appropriate overall care for the well-		
being of their cattle	73%	52%

Areas of Divergence

Can be viewed as opportunities or threats...



Public's vote-buy consistency & producer perceptions of behavior



Public's vote-buy consistency & producer perceptions of behavior

	Consumer- Yes	Consumer- Don't know	Producer- Conditional Average	Producer- Don't Know
Vote to ban cattle castration without use of pain control	66%	15%	53%	16%
Pay a premium for beef from cattle castrated with pain control	36%	38%	26%	18%
	30% gap		27% perceived gap	

Note: producers were asked what they thought typical American would do.



Effective and Practical Actions to Improve Welfare of Beef Cattle in the U.S.

Provide access to **fresh**, **clean feed and water** appropriate for the animal's physiological state.

Provide adequate comfort through the use of **shade**, **windbreaks**, **and ventilation** assuring clean, dry, sanitary environmental conditions for cattle.

Promptly treat or euthanize all injured or sick animals.

Develop a herd health plan with the help of a veterinarian.

Consistent training program for owner and employees focusing on principles of animal care and handling.

Restrict use of **antibiotics** to only disease treatment.

Castrate male calves either within the first three months of age or with pain control.

Dehorn/disbud calves either before horn tissue adheres to skull or with pain control.

Third party verification that appropriate animal care and facilities are provided on farm.



Most Effective and Practical Actions to Improve Welfare of Beef Cattle in the U.S.

- Same 3 actions for producers & consumers
- 1. Provide access to **fresh**, **clean feed and water** appropriate for the animal's physiological state.
- 2. Provide adequate comfort through the use of **shade, windbreaks, and ventilation** assuring clean, dry, sanitary environmental conditions for cattle.
- 3. Promptly **treat or euthanize** all injured or sick animals.

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

Ranking of Consumer Stated WTP for Beef Attributes

- Mean premiums for Beef Steak:
 - Guaranteed Tender (\$1.79/lb)
 - Natural (\$1.79/lb)
 - Locally Produced (\$1.78/lb)
 - Hormone-Free (\$1.73/lb)
 - Antibiotic-Free (\$1.72/lb)
 - Organic (\$1.69/lb)
 - Animal Welfare Assured (\$1.61/lb)
 - Sustainably Produced (\$1.59/lb)



Lister et al.

Journal of Food Products Marketing (forthcoming)

Source: http://www.agmanager.info/livestock/marketing/WorkingPapers/WP1_FoodValues-LivestockProducts.pdf

- "Social Issues" less important in purchasing decisions than:
 - Safety
 - Freshness
 - Taste
 - Nutrition
 - Health
 - Price

27

Lister et al. (forthcoming)

Importance Shares	
	Ground Beef
Safety	21%
Freshness	20%
Taste	12%
Health	12%
Nutrition	8%
Price	7%
Hormone Free/Antibiotic Free	7%
Animal Welfare	5%
Origin/Traceability	3%
Environmental Impact	3%
Convenience	2%

41%

18%



Lister et al. (forthcoming)

>"Social Issues" < safety, freshness, taste, price...

Importance Shares by Product				
	Ground Beef	Beef Steak	Chicken Breast	Milk
Safety & Freshness	41%	37%	39%	38%
Taste, Health, Nutrition, Price, Conv	41%	47%	44%	45%
HF/AF, AW, Origin/Tr, Env	18%	16%	17%	17%



Q: Will Consumers Pay for Social Issues?

- Short-Term: NO
 - Otherwise we'd see more differentiation

- Longer-Term: **YES**
 - Production costs are realized over time impacting Ps
 & Qs for whole industry



Q: Will Consumers Pay?

- Short-Term: **NO**
- Longer-Term: **YES**

-Maintain Context:

World wants & needs more food

• U.S. well positioned to help provide it



Social Issues Take-Home Message

- Issues are here to stay in U.S.
 - Documenting, verifying, &/or changing practices is largely a cost of doing business

- Similarities and differences exist between producers & U.S. public
 - Opportunities for productive discussion
 - MANY areas for extension programming



- Consider conference goal:
 - -"The goal of this conference is to broaden risk management education programming for agricultural producers, to encourage new programming efforts, and to strengthen existing programs.



- To help stakeholders we MUST:
 - 1. Identify & recognize change,
 - 2. Better understand change,
 - 3. Appreciate implications of change



- To help stakeholders we MUST:
 - Identify & recognize change,
 - Better understand change,
 - 3. Appreciate implications of change
- Being truly helpful REQUIRES:
 - ongoing effort,
 - self-education,
 - engagement with others



- What are risks if:
 - we don't recognize, understand, and appreciate implications of change?



- What are risks if:
 - we don't recognize, understand, and appreciate implications of change?

– we engage producers <u>without</u> current & accurate insights?



- Risks, risks oh my...
 - Ongoing education of the educator is key!!!



- Consider history of selling market hogs
 - —30 head to auction, by gooseneck trailer, sold by live weight
 - -30 head to auction, by gooseneck trailer, sold by %lean



- Consider history of selling market hogs
 - 30 head to auction, by gooseneck trailer, sold by live weight
 - 30 head to auction, by gooseneck trailer, sold by %lean

- -180 head to packer by 18-wheeler
- 180 head to packer by 18-wheeler with heavy/light weight discounts



- Consider history of selling market hogs
 - 30 head to auction, by gooseneck trailer, sold by live weight
 - 30 head to auction, by gooseneck trailer, sold by %lean
 - 180 head to packer by 18-wheeler
 - 180 head to packer by 18-wheeler with heavy/light weight discounts
 - 180 head to packer by 18-wheeler with Paylean, gestation stall, ABF claims, etc.

•WHAT IS NEXT???



More information available at:



This presentation will be available in PDF format at:

http://www.agmanager.info/about/contributors/individual/tonsor.asp

Glynn T. Tonsor
Associate Professor
Dept. of Agricultural Economics
Kansas State University

Email: gtonsor@ksu.edu

Twitter: @TonsorGlynn

