

# Wind, Green Ammonia, and Wealth Retention: A Novel Economic Viability Strategy for Small Towns and Rural Communities

Vincent Amanor-Boadu & Catherine Obiribea Ofori-Bah

Risk and Profit Conference 2021

K-State Alumni Center

Contact: [vincent@ksu.edu](mailto:vincent@ksu.edu)



**KANSAS STATE**  
UNIVERSITY



## Acknowledgments & Disclaimer

**Acknowledgments:** The study has benefited from research independently conducted by the NSF-funded FEWtures research team and conversation with team members over the past two years, especially work done by the following:

- Hongyu Wu and students, Kansas State University
- Peter Pfromm and students, Washington State University
- Mary Hill, Ted Peltier, John Symons, Susan Stover and others, University of Kansas
- Robert Barron, Western New England University

The study has also benefited from conversations with Kirk Heger.

We appreciate the financial support from the NSF and from Kansas State University.

**Disclaimers:** The opinions and views expressed are not necessarily shared by all FEWtures researchers, participating institutions, and the NSF. All errors and omission are solely the authors.



**KANSAS STATE**  
UNIVERSITY

## Conversation Topics


Small towns and rural (STAR) communities and the Great Plains

Harvesting the wind for STAR communities' economic development

Economic feasibility of green ammonia production: A case of southwestern Kansas

KANSAS STATE  
UNIVERSITY

## The Great Plains is Not the Midwest



The Great Plains begins in Texas and reaches all the way to the Canadian border. The “Midwest” offers images of “tree-lined rivers winding through bucolic hills covered in emerald green fields of corn or grass.” The Great Plains, on the other hand, engenders images of “flat, treeless expanses interrupted only rarely by a modest creek or river. Tall white grain elevators overlook towns where each straight, wide, sunlit street opens onto a stretch of lime-green buffalo grass or yellow wheat.”




Julene Bair, Kansan, award-winning writer on HPPR on Sept. 27, 2018. <https://www.hppr.org/post/our-turn-earth-great-plains-not-Midwest>

KANSAS STATE  
UNIVERSITY


# The Plains in the US Economy

Average Year-on-Year Change (%) in Current Dollar GDP by Region (2014-2019)




# The Plains in US Agriculture and Food Sector


Average Contribution to US Agriculture GDP by Region (2010-2019)



Average Contribution to US Food GDP by Region (2010-2019)




## Trend in Region's Share of US Agriculture and Food GDP (Plains v. Far West)



KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

## Plains' Reality and Need to Get Creative




- Farmers in the Plains import most consumable farm inputs and sell the majority of their production a bulk or intermediate products
- SW KS alone spends about \$120 mil/year on ammonia fertilizer alone
- That is \$1.2 billion in 10 years

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY




## FEWtures' Story

- Let's imagine regional ag community comprising the 18 counties in the USDA Southwest Agricultural Area
- They produce a significant proportion of Kansas agricultural commodities:
  - Corn, wheat, and sorghum and livestock
 ([https://www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics\\_by\\_State/Kansas/Publications/County\\_Estimates/index.php](https://www.nass.usda.gov/Statistics_by_State/Kansas/Publications/County_Estimates/index.php))
- Let's imagine an economic development strategy for the area that focuses on leveraging wind to enhance community wealth and wealth creation potential
  - Use wind energy to produce all the ammonia fertilizer needed



**KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY**

## Opportunity to Reimagine Economic Development



**KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY**

## Crop Acres and Ammonia Needs Assumptions

Crop	2019 Acres	2018 Acres	2017 Acres	3-Year Average Acres	N Fertilizer Need (#/Acre)	Anhyd. NH <sub>3</sub> Applied (#/Acre)	Anhyd. NH <sub>3</sub> (MT) (3-Year Average)
Corn	1,201,000	881,000	1,018,000	1,033,333	174	212.2	99,458
Cotton	41,200	44,400	26,200	37,267	174	212.2	3,587
Sorghum	715,000	770,000	766,000	750,333	100	122.0	41,506
Soybeans	87,800	116,500	137,500	113,933	160	195.1	10,084
Wheat	1,408,500	1,414,000	1,405,500	1,409,333	120	146.3	93,551
Total	3,453,500	3,225,900	3,353,200	3,344,200			248,185

While NH<sub>3</sub> is typically not applied to soybeans, some farmers do to boost yields.

Source: <https://quickstats.nass.usda.gov/>;  
AgManager.info; Others



## Decision-Maker Assumptions

- Local production of inputs benefits the community if it is globally competitive
- Local consumption of locally-produced globally-competitive inputs adds value
  - Therefore, farmers will use locally-produced ammonia if it is competitive
- Research seeks to assess the conditions for globally-competitive local green ammonia production
- Production capacity to replace all imported ammonia into community, i.e., 248,185 MT

# Ammonia Production Technology Assumptions

6.5 MWh/MT

Green Ammonia using the Solid Oxide Electrolysis Cell





Ammonia using Haber-Bosch Technology

10.5 MWh/MT

KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

# Energy Production Technology Simulations Output




KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY

## Energy Production Technology Assumptions

All system costs and performance assumptions procured from NREL using the SAM (System Advisors Model – Wind Module)

- SAM simulations produced 19.2 MWh/day/turbine
- Implying an average output of about 2.9 MT/day
- Implying about 233 turbines to produce 248,185 MT/year


## Cost of Production




- The capital cost per metric ton of ammonia over 25 years is \$97.61
- Total operating cost per metric ton of ammonia is assumed at \$6.95
  - Includes manager, engineers, and other HR plus water and maintenance
- Total cost/MT = \$104.56



# Ammonia Price Assumption (Simulation)




# Discounted Investment Performance with 23% Ammonia Price Discount, 30% Dividend, and 5% Discount Rate Over 25 Years




# Discounted Investment Performance with 23% Ammonia Price Discount, 30% Dividend, and 5% Discount Rate Over 25 Years

Investment Performance by Scenario



# Discounted Payback Period

No Debt v. 25% Debt Comparison





## Recall...It's About People (and Profit)


- Dividend payments come to community residents
- What will they do with it?
  - Opportunity to invest in local value adding initiatives to enhance community attractiveness to young innovative entrepreneurs
  - Diversify economic base and begin importing talent and exporting products and services
  - Transform the community and improve its vitality, viability, and sustainability



## Conclusion

- Emerging technologies support globally-competitive local green ammonia production
- Despite competing interests (battery, transportation fuel, grid electricity, etc.), best use of locally produced green ammonia is for local consumption to produce value-added crops and livestock
- This could differentiate local producers in the market, allowing them to sell their produce at premiums in an increasingly discriminating market
- Increase wealth, increase community viability, increase sustainability

## Reimagining Economic Development in STAR Communities



KANSAS STATE  
UNIVERSITY

## Final Thought

The important thing is this:  
to be able at any moment  
to sacrifice what we are  
for what we could become.

Charles du Bos (1882-1939)

KANSAS STATE  
UNIVERSITY



Vincent Amanor-Boadu  
Department of Agricultural Economics  
Kansas State University  
[vincent@ksu.edu](mailto:vincent@ksu.edu)

**KANSAS STATE**  
UNIVERSITY