

When Can I Shoot My Neighbor's Dog?

Roger McEowen (roger.mceowen@washburn.edu) – Washburn University School of Law

Roger McEowen's Blog: <https://agriculturallaw.lawprofessorsblogs.com/>

October 2026

Overview

For farmers, ranchers, and homesteaders, few sights are more alarming than an unfamiliar dog tearing through a pasture. Domestic dogs can wreak havoc on cattle, sheep, goats, and poultry - not only by biting and killing, but by chasing animals into fences, triggering miscarriages, or running them to exhaustion.

A common myth persists in rural communities: "*If a dog is on my land, I have every right to shoot it.*" Under United States law, that belief is dangerously false. While most jurisdictions grant livestock owners legal privileges to defend their animals, that privilege is strictly conditioned. Pulling the trigger without meeting statutory requirements can result in felony animal cruelty charges, civil lawsuits, and community backlash.

The Statutory Framework: Defense of Livestock, Not Property Trespass

Under common law, dogs are classified as personal property. Therefore, mere trespass by a dog does not give a landowner legal authorization to destroy it. To protect agricultural producers, nearly every state has enacted a specific "defense of livestock" statute. Examples include:

- **Texas Health & Safety Code § 822.013:** Permits a dog to be killed by any person who witnesses the dog in the act of pursuing, worrying, or attacking livestock or poultry.
- **Indiana Code § 15-20-2-2:** Authorizes a person who observes a dog in the act of killing or injuring livestock to kill the dog, provided they have the consent of the landowner.
- **Ohio Revised Code § 955.28:** Provides that a dog may be killed when caught chasing, worrying, injuring, or killing livestock, poultry, or other domestic animals.

The Meaning of "Worrying"

Most statutes use the term "worrying." Legally, worrying means to harass, chase, or drive animals into a state of panic or exhaustion, even if the dog has not yet drawn blood. However, statutes generally require that the attack or pursuit be imminent or actively in progress. Once the dog breaks off the attack and retreats, the statutory privilege to shoot typically terminates.

Case Law: Where Landowners Win and Lose

The line between lawful livestock defense and unlawful animal destruction is highlighted in state case law.

- **When the defense holds.** In *Puckett v. Miller*,¹ a farmer shot dogs roaming unattended on his property that had a history of threatening his animals. The Indiana Court of Appeals held that the farmer was

¹ 178 Ind. App. 174, 381 N.E.2d 1087 (Ind. Ct. App. 1978).

shielded from liability under state statutes that authorized landowners to destroy roaming dogs known to have worried livestock or poultry.

- **When the Shooter is Held Liable:** In *Trautman v. Day*,² a landowner shot a neighbor's dog that was merely running through his cow herd. The North Dakota Supreme Court declined to apply statutory immunity, affirming a money judgment against the shooter. The court found that simply cutting across pasture ground or mingling near livestock without exhibiting aggressive chasing or attack behavior does not meet the legal threshold of "worrying."
- **Off-Property Retaliation:** A landowner who tracks a dog back to the neighbor's porch and shoots it after the attack has concluded cannot claim the defense of livestock privilege.³ The danger must be present and immediate, not past and retaliatory.

Implications of Improper Killing

Acting outside statutory bounds exposes a landowner to serious consequences:

- **Criminal Prosecution:** Most states classify intentional killing or wounding of a companion animal without legal justification as misdemeanor or felony aggravated animal cruelty.⁴ There's also the possibility of the charge of criminal reckless discharge of a firearm or a criminal mischief charge.
- **Civil Damages:** The pet's owner can sue for conversion, trespass to chattels, or property damage. Courts award the dog's fair market value (or specialized training/breeding value) and, in cases of malicious conduct, substantial punitive damages.
- **Loss of Compensation Rights:** Many states hold dog owners strictly liable for livestock loss caused by their dogs.⁵ An unlawful shooting can compromise the shooter's ability to recover compensation for injured stock.

Best Practices: The Proper Protocol for Livestock Protection

If you face recurring or immediate canine threats to your herd or flock, follow these practical rules:

- **Prioritize Deterrence & Containment:** Maintain perimeter fencing, post "Livestock on Property" signage, and use livestock guardian dogs, donkeys, or non-lethal deterrents (bear spray, paintballs, noise makers) when possible.
- **Lethal Force as a Last Resort:** Firearm use should be reserved strictly for dogs caught **in the act** of biting, pursuing, or menacing livestock where other interventions cannot prevent injury or death.

² 273 N.W.2d 712 (N.D. 1979).

³ *Volosen v. State*, 227 S.W.3d 77 (Tex. Crim. App. 2007)

⁴ See, e.g., Ga. Code § 16-12-4; Tex. Penal Code § 42.092.

⁵ See, e.g., Ohio Rev. Code § 955.28; Ind. Code § 15-20-2-1.



- **Adhere to Firearm Safety:** Never fire toward neighboring homes, roads, or across property lines. Ensure an adequate backstop before pulling the trigger.
- **Never Pursue Beyond Your Boundary:** If the dog disengages and flees your property, let it go. Shooting a retreating dog on the roadway or neighbor's lot converts self-defense into animal cruelty.
- **Document Everything Immediately:**
 - o Take clear photos and video of the scene, the dog's position, and any dead or injured livestock before moving anything.
 - o Preserve bite marks, tracks, and physical evidence.
- **Contact Law Enforcement Promptly:** Immediately call the county sheriff or local animal control to file an official report. Promptly reporting establishes that you acted in good faith to protect your livelihood rather than attempting to conceal an incident.

Conclusion

For farmers and ranchers, a dog attacking livestock is more than a nuisance - it can threaten animals, livelihoods, and years of investment. But the law generally does not recognize a blanket right to shoot a dog simply because it is trespassing. The critical question is whether the dog is actively engaged in conduct that the applicable state statute recognizes as a threat to livestock, such as chasing, worrying, injuring, or attacking animals.

The safest approach is therefore simple: know your state's statute before an incident occurs, act only when the statutory requirements are satisfied, use lethal force only when reasonably necessary to protect livestock, and document what happened afterward. Once the immediate threat has ended and the dog is retreating, the legal justification for shooting generally ends as well.

Farmers should also resist the temptation to treat these incidents as matters of neighborly retaliation. A dog owner may be responsible for livestock losses caused by the dog, and an unlawful shooting may expose the farmer to criminal prosecution and civil liability while potentially complicating a claim for compensation.

In short, protecting livestock is not the same thing as protecting property from trespass. The law gives agricultural producers important tools to defend their animals, but those tools have boundaries. Understanding those boundaries before the next dog crosses the fence may be the difference between a lawful act of livestock protection and an expensive legal problem.

For more information about this publication and others, visit AgManager.info.

K-State Agricultural Economics | 342 Waters Hall, Manhattan, KS 66506-4011 | www.ageconomics.k-state.edu

[Copyright 2026: AgManager.info](https://AgManager.info) and [K-State Department of Agricultural Economics](https://www.ageconomics.k-state.edu)

